#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

In re VIISAGE TECHNOLOGY, IN	IC.
SECURITIES LITIGATION	

Civil Action No. 05-cv-10438-MLW

This Pleading Applies to: All Actions

### UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUBMIT COUNSEL'S TIME RECORDS IN CAMERA

Plaintiffs hereby move for an order authorizing the submission of their counsel's attorney time records in camera. In support of this unopposed motion, Plaintiffs state as follows:

- 1. On August 13, 2007, counsel for the Parties appeared before this Court at a hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. At that hearing, the Court requested that Counsel submit detailed time records in connection with their Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees.
- 2. Plaintiffs intend to file their papers in support of final approval of the settlement, and their request for attorneys' fees, on or before Friday, November 2, 2007. The final approval hearing is scheduled for November 16, 2007 at 3:00 p.m.
- 3. Plaintiffs' Counsel submit that the requested detailed time records contain attorney work product that warrant in camera review. These time records reflect the strategies and mental impressions of the attorneys who prosecuted this class action.. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3) dictates that attorney work product is entitled to broad protect from disclosure. While Plaintiffs' Counsel respect the Court's request for this information to assist in its determination of a fair and reasonable fee, neither Defendants nor the general public have any interest or

substantial need for this information, nor will either entity be prejudiced by the Court's in camera review of these records. Accordingly, Plaintiffs request that this Court maintain the work product protection of Counsel's time records by reviewing the time records in camera.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs' Counsel respectfully requests that this Court enter the accompanying proposed order authorizing the submission of the time records of Plaintiffs' Counsel in camera.

#### **Certification Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a)(2)**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a)(2), undersigned counsel hereby certify that counsel for both Parties have conferred on the matters addressed in this Motion and Counsel for Viisage does not oppose Plaintiffs' Motion.

Dated: October 24, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Patrick T. Egan

Leslie R. Stern, Esq. (BBO# 631201) Jeffrey C. Block, Esq. (BBO# 600747) Patrick T. Egan, Esq. (BBO# 637477) BERMAN DEVALERIO PEASE TABACCO BURT & PUCILLO One Liberty Square, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor Boston, MA 02109 (617) 542-8300

Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel

Jeffrey A. Klafter, Esq. KLAFTER & OLSEN LLP 1311 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 220 White Plains, NY 10605 (914) 997-5656

Kurt B. Olsen, Esq. KLAFTER & OLSEN LLP 1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 261-3553

Stephen D. Oestreich, Esq. Robert Cappucci, Esq. William W. Wickersham, Esq. ENTWISTLE & CAPPUCCI LLP 280 Park Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor West New York, NY 10017 (212) 894-7200

Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiffs

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

In re VIISAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	Civil Action No. 05-cv-10438-MLW
This Pleading Applies to: All Actions	

# [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUBMIT <u>COUNSEL'S TIME RECORDS IN CAMERA</u>

**THIS MATTER** came before the Court for consideration of Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Leave To Submit Counsel's Time Records *In Camera*.

Upon consideration of the submissions of the Parties, and for good cause show, it is hereby ordered that in connection with their motion for an award of attorneys' fees, Plaintiffs' counsel shall submit their time records for *in camera* review.

SO ORDERED.		
Dated: October, 2007	Chief Judge Mark L. Wolf	
	United States District Judge	